



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,109	01/24/2008	Olivier Miserque	31223.0126	9522
25264	7590	07/17/2008	EXAMINER	
FINA TECHNOLOGY INC PO BOX 674412 HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412				TESKIN, FRED M
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1796				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/17/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/587,109	MISERQUE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Fred M. Teskin	1796

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Fred M. Teskin. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Ms. Kilpatrick-Lee. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 15-30 generally.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tharappel et al; Norwood.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Generally discussed above-identified prior art in relation to claimed process. Applicants' representative proposed amending broadest claim to delete reference to Ziegler-Natta polymerization catalyst. Examiner indicated the current prior art rejection would not be applicable to claims limited to a chromium-based polymerization catalyst.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Fred M Teskin/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required